Dry Bulk Terminals

Benchmark
Member Terminals
Re-Start
Paul Goris and Wouter van Gils



Background

- Start of ideas in 2008/Short period/Stop
- Need compare own processes versus peer terminals
- Key elements:
 - Utilisation
 - Availability (Equipment and Staff)
 - Operating efficiency
 - Environmental (Complaints etc)
- Danger zones:
 - Commercial
 - Closed Communication/Discrete
- Mission: renewal, intensify and operationalise benchmark



Launching Members 2021

- Riverport Terminals Colombia (Coal and Feed)
- Port of Oxelosünd Sweden (Various Bulk Commodities)
- Nectar Group Global (e.g. Mozambique, Far East) (Minerals, Coal, Others)
- European Bulk Services BV Netherlands (Minerals, Feed, Biomass, Others)
- RAK Ports United Arab Emirates (Limestone, Clinker, Others Export and Import)
- Port Waratah Coal Services Australia (Coal Export)
- Cooper Mexico (Minerals/Break Bulk)



Structure Benchmark

- First step benchmarking: "the data collection sheet"
 - Aims to be user-friendly
 - Collects data on certain KPI's
 - Data will be needed to establish benchmark.

Created parameters for future inclusion



First view of the Benchmarking sheet

	Company Name														
Personal information	Terminal Name														
	Country		1												
	Iro ore/Coal - Food and Feed - Construction/Minerals - Mixed														
	Input - output - mixed		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	1
	.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		0		0			0	0) 0			ol .
	Total numbers of employees	Fixed						_							
	' <i>'</i>	Contractors													
				Q1 Average			Q2 Average			Q3 Averrage		0 0	Q4 Average	. (0
	Lost time injury frequency	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Yearly avera
Health and safety	No of incidents														D
nealth and sarety	Total numbers of hours worked per month													(D
	LTIFR value	() (0	0		0	0	0	0	() 0		(D
			Q1 average	0	1	Q2 average	0		Q3 average	0	ı	Q4 Average			
		Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	Mav	Jun	Jul	Aua	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Yearly avera
	hours absent														0
Absenteeism	total working hours per month													i i	D
) (0) 0	0	0	0	1) 0			0
		,	Q1 average	Ĭ		Q2 average		Ť	Q3 average	ř		Q4 Average		 	_
			QTavelage	-	4	Q2 average	-		QU average	-		GTHVelage	-		
	Enviromental complaints	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Year	Yearly avera
Enviromental	Number of environmental complaints														0
	Trainer or entire merical complaints		Q1 average	0	1	Q2 average	0		Q3 average	-		Q4 Average			
			Qualitage	_	4	QL di ciage			go arciage			QTTTCTGGC			
		Jan-2	1 Feb-2	Mar-21	Apr-21	May-21	1 Jun-21	Jul-21	Aug-21	Sep-21	Oct-2	1 Nov-21	Dec-21	IVoor	Yearlu avera
	Available Time	Vall 2	1 160-2	1/101 21	Apr 21	may 2	001121	001 Z 1	Hug 21	Jep z	000 2	1 1400 21	Dec 2		n early avera
Operational	Calendar Time	744	672	744	720	744	720	744	744	720	744	1 720	744		
	Operating Time	177	012	144	120	144	120	144	144	120	14	7 120	144		n
	Breakdown Loss													ì	-
	Utilised Time													ì	n
	Actual loading rate - unloading rate													i	n
	Design loading unloading rate													i	0
	Tonnage handled per hour														D
	Egupment availability (AT/OT)) (0	0		0	0	0	0	1	0			0
İ	Equipement utilisation (OT/CT)	l										0		l è	
	Equipement Reliability (BL/UT)	l										0		l è	D
	Operating Effoinecy (OT/UT)	r č			Ö		Ö					o o		r i	D
E	Overall equipmen Efficiency (AL/DL)	1) (Ō	0	0			ō			0		1 0	D
															n



Output sheet

1															
0 0 0	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun	Jul	Aug	Sep	Oct	Nov	Dec	Previous Benchmark value	Year	Yearly Average
0															
Workforce	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0			0
Lost Time Frequency	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00
Absenteeism	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%
Enviromental	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0		0	0
Equipment availability	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%
Equipment utilisation	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%
Equipement reliability	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%
Operating efficiency	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%
Overall equipment efficiency	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%		0.00%	0.00%
Tonnes per employee	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000		0.000	0.000
															11

DRYBULKTERMINALS

Feedback

- Need for clearer definition of some of the criteria.
 - Ex: absenteeism, environmental complaints, No of incidents, ...
- Avoid KPI's concerning:
 - Finance
 - Costs
- Adding new KPI's like:
 - Customer satisfaction / performance
 - Employee retention
 - Emission control and green energy



More feedback

- "At this stage I think the important thing is to establish a group of members who are really enthusiastic about the concept, ..."
- "Let's start with what we have and improve as we go along!"
- Confidentiality
- Improving by doing

Approach

- Analysis of existing benchmark is done
- Inventarisation of needs benchmark partners done
- Segmentation to compare 'apples' with 'apples' in future
- Start with filling structure (frequency, detail level etc)
- First findings shared with Launching Members



Questions or Feedback

wouter@drybulkterminals.org

